

# EAST OLDHAM Planning Area: Priority Matrix

School (academies in italics) Grouped by latest Ofsted Grade	Primary Planning Area	SCHOOL STANDARDS		PARENTAL CHOICE			DESKTOP PROPERTY ISSUES		PROPERTY ISSUES: Project option appraisal					RANKING POST EVALUATION	
		Last Ofsted Grade	Proceed to evaluation YES/NO	Number of 1st prefs Sept 2017 Reception	PAN	% 1st choice preference vs PAN	RANKING	Desktop site appraisal (R/A/G)	Site issue commentary	DETAILED TEST OF EXPANSION PROPOSAL (Y/N)	Developable to meet demand (R/A/G)	Condition issues affecting build proposal (R/A/G)	Likelihood of planning permission (R/A/G)		Order Magnitude Capital Cost (per addt. PAN place)
<b>OUTSTANDING</b>															
Godwick Infant	EO	Outstanding	Yes	94	90	104%	7	AMBER / RED	NEED TO DISCUSS IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALEXANDRA PARK JUNIORS - GLOWICK IS A COMPROMISED SITE WITH LITTLE OR NO OPPORTUNITY	NOT FOR SIMPLE EXPANSION - Wider discussion required	GREEN / AMBER (4)	WHOLESALE SCHOOL RE-ORG IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALEXANDRA PARK JUNIORS - REBUILD (5)	AMBER (3)	£10m for 1FE i.e. £333k / place of PAN (2)	SEE ALEX PARK OPTIONS
Greenhill Academy	EO	Outstanding	Yes	74	60	123%	5	RED / AMBER	Site is already developed heavily with limited play and sport facilities due to having been previously expanded	NO					
Roundthorn Academy	EO	Outstanding	Yes	41	30	137%	1	AMBER	Heavily developed but could add Council adjacent plots to compensate for areas of sport / play if these were lost	YES IF ADDITIONAL LAND AVAILABLE	RED	Not sufficient land for expansion - adjacent site is not currently available			
St Thomas Moorside	EO	Outstanding	Yes	31	30	103%	8	RED / AMBER	Substantial level differences and need to address Children's Centre issues. On plan there appears sufficient area but would result in major level issues / split buildings.	Possible	RED (1) LIMITED DEMAND	Need to resolve shortfall of one room for 1FE base (4)	AMBER / RED - risk of over development lack of play / sport (2)	£3m for 1 FE i.e. £100k / place of PAN (5)	8
<b>GOOD (inc. new academy conversions)</b>															
Beever C P	EO	Good	Yes	39	30	130%	2	RED	Level differences / childrens centre on site / tight site - no significant opportunity	NO					
Clarksfield C P	EO	No grading - new academy	Yes	24	60	40%	16	AMBER	Possible to squeeze a 3FE rebuild onto site but would leave compromised site with regard play/sport provision	Yes	AMBER / GREEN (4)	Major issues addressed by this proposal (5)	AMBER - Over development / overlooking issues (3)	£8.5m for 1FE i.e. £283k / place of PAN (2)	7
Clarksfield. 1FE expansion on same site	EO	No grading - new academy	Yes	24	60	40%	16	AMBER		Yes				£3.5m for 1FE - £117k/place of PAN	1
Greenacres C P	EO	Good	Yes	39	30	130%	2	AMBER	Possibility to expand but will compromise play / sport facility may need to be 2 storey	YES	RED (1)		RED (1)	£5m for 1FE expansion - £166K/place of PAN	5
Hodge Clough C P	EO	Good	Yes	39	60	65%	14	RED	Complicated split site school with excessive level differences and complex building design would need to expand / work on reallocation of 2 sites to achieve significant expansion	NO					
Holy Cross C E	EO	Good	Yes	46	60	77%	9	AMBER / RED	Complicated site with multiple buildings and limited play space, would need additional public open space incorporating to make site feasible	NO					

# EAST OLDHAM Planning Area: Priority Matrix

School (academies in italics) Grouped by latest Ofsted Grade	Primary Planning Area	SCHOOL STANDARDS		PARENTAL CHOICE				DESKTOP PROPERTY ISSUES		PROPERTY ISSUES: Project option appraisal					RANKING POST EVALUATION
		Last Ofsted Grade	Proceed to evaluation YES/NO	Number of 1st prefs Sept 2017 Reception	PAN	% 1st choice preference vs PAN	RANKING	Desktop site appraisal (R/A/G)	Site issue commentary	DETAILED TEST OF EXPANSION PROPOSAL (Y/N)	Developable to meet demand (R/A/G)	Condition issues affecting build proposal (R/A/G)	Likelihood of planning permission (R/A/G)	Order Magnitude Capital Cost (per addt. PAN place)	
Horton Mill C P	EO	Good	Yes	21	30	70%	11	AMBER / RED	Very tight site with challenging design, current sport and play provision significantly compromised if expand	NO					
Littlemoor C P	EO	Good	Yes	34	45	76%	10	AMBER	Site compromised for play / sport. Only realistic option would be to reclaim Childrens Centre - contractual issues / stat duty	Possible	AMBER / RED (2)	NO Major issues (5)	AMBER / RED - risk of over development lack of play / sport (2)	£1.5m for 0.5 FE i.e. £100k / place of PAN (5)	2=
Mayfield Academy	EO	Good	Yes	39	30	130%	2	AMBER	Site looks to be well developed with little potential for improving play / sport provision if areas lost due to extension	YES - Academy have developed idea	AMBER / RED (2)	NO Major issues (5)	AMBER / RED - risk of over development lack of play / sport (2)	£4m for 1FE i.e. £133k / place of PAN (5)	4
St Anne's R C	EO	Good	Yes	33	30	110%	6	GREEN / AMBER	Is adjacent playing pitch in school ownership, if so good opportunity for expansion and replace lost play with sports MUGA	YES	GREEN / AMBER (4)	NO SIGNIFICANT ISSUES KNOWN - Diocese to confirm (5)	AMBER - Over development / overlooking issues (3)	£5m for 1FE i.e. £167k / place of PAN (4)	6
Watersheddings C P	EO	No grading - new academy	Yes	30	45	67%	12	GREEN / AMBER	EXPAND SCHOOL TO 2FE		GREEN (5)	NO SIGNIFICANT ISSUES KNOWN - Recent work (5)	GREEN / AMBER - Over development / overlooking issues (4)	£1.5m for 0.5 FE i.e. £100k / place of PAN (5)	2=
St Theresa's R C	EO	Good	Yes	13	30	43%	15	RED	Previously redeveloped site expanded to likely maximum	NO					
Stoneleigh Academy	EO	Good	Yes	20	30	67%	12	RED / AMBER	Looks to be lacking outside space / areas for expansion	NO					
<b>REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT / SPECIAL MEASURES</b>															
Alexandra Park convert to 3FE + Glodwick to 1FE	EO	Good	Yes	90	90	100%	#N/A	AMBER / RED	NEED TO DISCUSS IN CONJUNCTION WITH GLODWICK INFANTS - GLODWICK IS A COMPROMISED SITE WITH LITTLE OR NO OPPORTUNITY BUT ALEX PARK COULD OFFER OPPORTUNITY ON PLAYING FIELDS	NOT FOR SIMPLE EXPANSION - Wider discussion required	GREEN / AMBER (4)	WHOLESALE SCHOOL RE-ORG IN CONJUNCTION WITH GLODWICK INFANT - REBUILDS GLODWICK (5)	AMBER (3)	£8m for 1FE i.e. £266k / place of PAN (3)	
Alexandra Park convert to 4FE (Need park land) + Glodwick to 1FE	EO	Good	Yes	90	90	100%	#N/A	AMBER / RED	NEED TO DISCUSS IN CONJUNCTION WITH GLODWICK INFANTS - GLODWICK IS A COMPROMISED SITE WITH LITTLE OR NO OPPORTUNITY BUT ALEX PARK COULD OFFER OPPORTUNITIES ALONG WITH PARKS LAND	NOT FOR SIMPLE EXPANSION - Wider discussion required	AMBER (3)	WHOLESALE SCHOOL RE-ORG IN CONJUNCTION WITH GLODWICK INFANT - REBUILDS GLODWICK (5)	AMBER (3)	£9.5m for 2FE i.e. £158k / place of PAN (4)	
Alexandra Park	EO	Good	Yes	90	90	100%	#N/A	AMBER / RED	Possible to expand Juniors to 4FE to cope with increased demand in KS2 numbers	YES	GREEN (5)	No known major issues (5)	GREEN (5)	£3m for 1FE Juniors effectively half a full PAN £200k / place of full PAN (4)	