Greater Manchester's Outline Business Case to tackle Nitrogen Dioxide Exceedances at the Roadside

E5 Registers E5.1 – Programme Risk Register





















Warning: Printed copies of this document are uncontrolled

Version Status:	DRAFT FOR APPROVAL	Prepared by:	Transport for Greater Manchester on behalf of the 10 Local Authorities of Greater Manchester
Authorised by:	Simon Warburton		
Date:	28 th February 2019		

1 of 14

Title: Review Date:		Appendix E.5.1 - OBC Progra 2/25/2019	mme Risk Register (Qualitative) CONFIDENTIAL W	ORKING DRAFT		_												
Category/ Workstream	Risk ID	Risk Name	Risk Description	Risk Cause	Risk Consequence	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Schedule Reputation &	Service Disruption Policy / Benefit	realisation Score (Pre-Mi	Assessment Assumptions	Action ID Action Name	Action Description	Action Owner	ood	Schedule Schedule	Reputation & us	Service Disruption Policy / Benefit realisation With Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark
Governance & Policy	Prog-2	Delays with the Local Authority approval process	Ten Local Authorities with differing constitutions arrangements need to reach agreement on the preferred option.		Delays to decision making resulting in AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales. Additional legal advice may be required.	Programme Manager	£.1	4		H 19	Cost impact is additional resource or resource extension.	Agree alignment and hold regular meetings	Agree strategic alignment within the Steering Group Chief Legal Officers, Council Leaders and regular meetings to address.	, SRO	M M	Н	-	- H 15
	Prog-2											A-5 Investigate and confirm whether the option to apply non-uniform solutions is feasible	Although an holistic approach is planned, investigate and confirm whether the option to apply non-uniform solutions across the Local Authorities is feasible.	Project Sponsor				
	Prog-2				A	pp	r	01	/a			A-6 Early and continued engagement A-7 Provide sufficient support	Early and continued engagement with elected members at each local authority Provide sufficient support to members of the steering group to brief key stakeholders in a timely fashion.	SRO SRO				
	Prog-2											COMPLETE- sought specialis A-8 legal advice to agree governance route.		Project Sponsor				
Governance & Policy	Prog-3		Potential for delays to the schedule if consultation or governance is required during Pr Election Periods causes issues.	re-rework. JAQU advice/ requirements are subject to change.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.	Programme Manager	M	L VH	- <u>-</u>	H 18	Cost impact is resource extension for delivery teams.	r A-9 Schedule appropriately and monitor	Schedule established which avoids clashes with Pre- election period and this to be monitored weekly to track progress and ensure no delays.	Governance & Policy Lead	M L	Н	-	- H 15
Governance & Policy	Prog-4	Additional decisions/ governance required throughout the developmer of the business case & programme delivery	Additional decisions/ governance required or takes longer than anticipated - internal, local authority (High level governance, not specific powers or consents - the required governance could vary significantly depending on the conter of the business case and/or the scope of the preferred option.).	Varied governance processes, uncertainty around governance, overlap with other strategies. Int Unforeseen government requirements	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.	Programme Manager	M	M VH		H 18	Cost impact is resource extension.	A-10 Regular communication and engagement	Regular communication with all political stakeholders.	Governance & Policy Lead	M M	Н	-	- H 15
	Prog-4											A-11 Agreement w/ JAQU & Government as to flexibility to scope requirements/ timescales	Continued liaison with JAQU and Government regarding decisions and governance requirements.	Governance & Policy Lead				
	Prog-4											A-12 COMPLETE - Develop a detailed schedule to ID all formal & informal approvals required	Develop a detailed schedule to identify all formal & informal approvals required and agree with all stakeholders.	Governance & Policy Lead				
	Prog-4											A-13 Ask for appropriate delegations	Appropriate delegations to officers will be sought in order to expedite.	Governance & Policy Lead				
	Prog-4											A-14 Briefing sessions being held with councillors	Briefing sessions being held with councillors	Governance & Policy Lead				
Governance & Policy	Prog-5	Political mandate	Political changes impact on schedule or vary scope.	Outcome of the 2019 local & Euro elections changes nationally.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.	Programme Manager	M	И Н	1 -	M 15			Regular communication with all political stakeholders.	Governance & Policy Lead	M M	Н	Н	- M 15
	Prog-5											A-16 Develop a handover Briefing & Comms plan.	Develop a handover Briefing & Comms plan, ready for if/ when this risk impacts.	Governance & Policy Lead				
Programme & Project Business Case	Prog-6	JAQU guidance changes	Guidance not available within the required timescales, insufficient JAQU guidance or change to the guidance.	changed by JAQU. Potential difference	or AQ compliance not being achieved in the desired timescales and impact on costs. Reputational impact to Greater Manchester authorities	Programme Manager	M	м м		M 11		Regular review of requirements (every 2 weeks)	Regular review of requirements within the project team and communication with JAQU for any potential areas of concern.	Programme Manager	L M	М	-	- M 8
	Prog-6											A-18 Understanding of the 'fixed' documentation	Understanding of the 'fixed' documentation, despite requirements being addressed.	Programme Manager				
	Prog-6											A-19 Agree pragmatic working	Agree pragmatic working agreements with JAQU	Programme Manager				
	Prog-6											A-20 Additional briefing sessions	Additional briefing sessions are to be provided by JAQU, as required, which should help mitigate the	Programme Manager				
	Prog-6											A-21 Concerns to be raised with	delays to the issuing of full documented guidance. Concerns should be documented in writing to JAQU.	Programme				
	Prog-6											A-22 Provide meeting minutes to	Provide meeting minutes to JAQU	Programme Manager				
	Prog-6											A-23 Communicate updates on	Ensure updates on the Huddle portal are	Programme Manager				
Marketing, Communications, Stakeholder Engagement & Consultation	Prog-7	Low public awareness of the issue of air pollution.	Low public awareness of the impact of air pollution may increase objections to the implementation of the GM CAP.	Current level of public understanding and awareness.	Reluctance to change travel behaviour and adopt sustainable, clean, transport options. AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.	Programme Manager	M I	L L		M 11	Consultation may increase.	Huddle	Raise awareness where possible through media campaigns, early and continued engagement with al stakeholder (including the general public) to promot the importance of clean air. Highlight Public Health benefits of cleaner air.	Manager Communicatio ns Lead	L L	L	-	- L 6

2 of 14

Title: Review Date:		Appendix E.5.1 - OBC Progr. 2/25/2019	amme Risk Register (Qualitative) CONFIDENTIAL WO	DRKING DRAFT		_												
Category/ Workstream	Risk ID		Risk Description	Risk Cause	Risk Consequence	Risk Owner	Likelihood Project Cost	Schedule Schedule Reputation &	Service Disruption Policy / Benefit	Risk Score (Pre-Mit)	Assessment Assumptions	Action ID Action Name	Action Description	Action Owner	Likelihood Project Cost	Schedule Schedule	Reputation & u	Policy / Benefit realisation (time by the service Disruption realisation with the service Disruption realisation realisation the service Disruption realisation r
Legal	Prog-8	B Legal challenge	Legal challenge about the approach taken	Objections to the approach and/ or timescales.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.	Programme Manager	M VH	H		18		A-25 Tolerate	Ensure compliance with all legal requirements.	Legal Lead	М Н	Н	-	- M 15
	Prog-8	3							10			A-26 Potential areas for challen to be raised to JAQU	ge Potential areas for challenge to be raised to JAQU fo their consideration.	r Legal Lead				
	Prog-8	3				Yk		JV				A-27 timescales for public consultation.	Determine realistic timescales for public consultation. Engage with JAQU. Engage with legal advisors.	Legal Lead				
Legal	Prog-9	Impact to climate change strategy	Changes in fleet composition impacts ambitions related to climate change strategy.	Clean Air Plan (as defined by Government) is focussed on reduction of NO ₂ .	Impacts Carbon Plan and other emission reduction strategies	Programme Manager	VL L	L M	- M	1 4		Preferred option to consider A-28 all potential negative implications.	er Preferred option to consider all potential negative implications.	Legal Lead	VL L	L	M	- M 4
Data, Evidence & Modelling	Prog-10	Target Determination is not agreed in the required	t Target Determination is not agreed.	Differences between JAQU and local data	AQ compliance not being achieved in the desired timescales or impact on costings.	d Programme Manager	M M	Н -	- H	15		A-29 COMPLETE - Consult with JAQU	Consult with JAQU to ensure accurate guidance is available for Target Determination.	Programme Manager	L M	Н	-	- H 10
Data, Evidence & Modelling	Prog-11	timescales 1 Modelling / information	Predictive nature of modelling leading to potential uncertainty	Predictive nature of modelling leading to potential uncertainty	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.	Programme Manager	M VH	н н	- н	18		A-30 Use experienced resource input of Technical Leads, independent verification & check JAQU assumptions	the Technical Lead independent verification and	Modelling Lead	L VH	Н	Н	- H 17
Programme & Project Business Case	Prog-12	Effectiveness of GM CAP following implementation	Implementation of the preferred option does no reduce NO2 to levels predicted within the model. This risk cannot be determined to have impacted immediately - it is a long-term risk, over several years.	Behaviour change expected in modelling process is not achieved in real life.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.	Programme Manager	M VH		- VI	H 18		Robust modelling and engagement with partner organisations and other areas of work during implementation	Further modelling and identification of interventions that can have the greatest impact or combinations of interventions. Engagement with partner organisations such as Highways England and Public Health England (PHE). Alignment with other relevant areas of work, such as the development of the congestion plan.	Programme Manager	L VH	-	-	- VH 17
	Prog-12	2										A-32 Implement appropriate monitoring	Implement appropriate monitoring for compliance and evaluation.	Monitoring and Evaluation Lead				
	Prog-12	2										A-33 Review feedback from monitoring	Feedback from monitoring should inform the effectiveness of the solutions implemented and give an opportunity to address any shortcomings.	Evaluation Lead				
	Prog-12											A-34 Review sensitivity ranges	Consider flexibility or sufficient sensitivity ranges to improve effectiveness. Consideration may be given to including further	Modelling Lead Monitoring and				
	Prog-12											A-35 Consider further projects A-36 Ensure robust process and		Evaluation Lead Modelling Lead				
	Prog-12											A-37 Ensure competent designed appointed.	adequate assurance during implementation Ensure competent designer is appointed and that adequate checks and assurance are in place during the design phase, and that procurement process assigns liability appropriately. Appointments will be made under the existing Transport Professional Services framework.	Procurement				
Governance & Polic	y Prog-13	Positioning of Greater Manchester approach impacts working relationsh with Highways England.	Lack of Highways England direction to act is highlighted by Greater Manchester AQ issue.	Highways England are operating under a different statutory framework and are not working under the same direction as Local Authorities.	Fholand and natinetonin with the winer Steering	Programme Manager	M M	L -	- M	1 11		A-38 Highways England include the Steering Group.	d in Highways England included in the Steering Group.	Governance & Policy Lead	M L	L	-	- L 7
	Prog-13	3										A-39 Close liaison with Highway	Continued and on-going liaison with Highways England to ensure that any interventions are included within the modelling process.	Governance & Policy Lead				
	Prog-13	3										A-40 Close liaison with JAQU COMPLETE - Political	Close liaison with JAQU.	Governance & Policy Lead				
	Prog-13	3										A-41 escalation to highlight this situation.	Political escalation to highlight this situation.	Governance & Policy Lead				

3 of 14

Title: Appendix E.5.1 - OBC Programme Risk Register (Qualitative) CONFIDENTIAL WORKING DRAFT 2/25/2019 Review Date: Pre-Mitigation (VL-VH) Post-Mitigation (VL-VH) **Assessment** Risk ID Risk Owner Risk Cause Risk Name **Risk Description** Risk Consequence **Action Name** Action Description Workstream Assumptions Interfaces with other near-term Greater Unforeseen complexities around interfaces and/ GM CAP to consider all Project & Work Interaction with other Manchester initiatives. Unforeseen impacts of GM CAP to consider all Greater Manchester policies, | Programme Extent and wide-range of scope and or interactions many result in AQ compliance Prog-14 Greater Manchester A-42 Greater Manchester policies, Greater Manchester initiatives, or of the L M H - M 10 Package strategies and initiatives and amend if necessary. not being achieved in the mandated timescales. strategies and initiatives. Development proposed solutions to the Greater Manchester initiatives. Early engagement to ensure alignment of initiatives, with on-going monitoring, updates and briefings to Prog-14 alignment of initiatives. Local Authorities. Representatives from Representatives from Strategy and Policy to lead on Strategy and Policy to lead the interfaces with other Greater Manchester A-44 on the interfaces with other initiatives as soon as possible, to ensure that this risk Manager Programme Prog-14 **Greater Manchester** is mitigated initiatives The 2040 Delivery Plan will need to be updated to Programme Prog-14 A-45 2040 Delivery Plan reflect the CAP work. Manager Additional research and evaluation of preferred Delays to achieving AQ compliance. Project & Work Consider research and Decision makers require a Insufficient information is available to make option is required (and possibly at multiple Programme FBC research and evaluation requirement for each Programme H H H H - M Package Prog-15 level of information that is A-46 evaluation requirement for - M Manager Manager stages), but may prove to be insufficient to key decisions. Further investigation and research required intervention as they are developed. not feasible to produce each intervention. Development support decision makers leading to delays and additional costs. Early feedback, through Drawing out of early feedback through Public Programme Prog-15 Public conversation activity. | conversation activity. Manager Liaison with JAQU around the scope of their central evaluation to ensure that Greater Manchester are A-48 Liaison with JAQU Prog-15 aware of any requirements that may need to be met. Cost impact assumes Project & Work Technology required to deliver the required technology is across Work with academia and other technology Work with academia and Technology required is not defined and still AQ compliance not being achieved in the Technology required within Programme Programme M VH M - - M VH M - - M Package Prog-16 improvements is not available within the 18 CAZ infrastructure and A-49 development organisations to support and technology development Manager timescales available needs to be confirmed. mandated timescales. Manager back office technology, Development timescales to support the preferred option. organisations. accelerate innovation. EV's and bus retrofit. AQ compliance not being achieved in the Local Authority discretionary decision. A Public Inquiry may be required during the Feedback by local resident groups, local mandated timescales. As the GMCAP develops, seek advice from Legal Programme A-50 Seek advice as required. Legal Prog-17 Public Inquiry M VH M VH VH VH Advisers, Technical Lead, other authorities and JAQU. development and implementation of the GM CAP businesses etc. identifying significant Manager objection to proposed measures Cost/schedule implications On-going engagement with Districts to determine On-going engagement with Prog-17 their position and take further legal advice as Legal Lead required. Uncertainty around resourcing requirements extent of work / teams is underestimated, increasing costs / duration of activities. Uncertainty around the resource Availability of internal/ external resources with requirements. Emerging requirements. Costs monitored on a weekly basis and schedule competing demands for time. AQ compliance not being achieved in the desired Programme monitored on a weekly basis, so any trends can be H M M - - L 14 M M M - - L 11 Human Resources Prog-18 Resources A-52 Monitor costs and schedule Experience of available staff. timescales costings are not credible. Manager identified and mitigations implemented. Issues Capability of internal/ external resources to meet escalated to JAQU and included in reassessment. the requirements Market forces/ staff churn. Retention of critical staff - Key/ Critical/ Specialist resources may become unavailable, at short notice. Consider the procurement strategy during Consider procurement Procurement Prog-18 development of interventions to identify where risk can be transferred if appropriate. Proactively manage the Proactively manage the resource levels within the Programme A-54 resource levels within the Prog-18 Manager team team Continue the monthly Project Leads meetings to Continue monthly Project Programme Prog-18 Leads meetings. assess and prioritise resource requirements. Manager Utilise external resource if internal resource is not A-56 Utilise external resource HR Lead Prog-18 available. Consider recruitment of new | Consider recruitment of new dedicated internal HR Lead Prog-18 dedicated internal resource resource Pay due consideration to potential availability of Appropriate resource Programme resources during the development and delivery of Prog-18 Manager GM CAP.

Title: Review Date:		Appendix E.5.1 - OBC Progra 2/25/2019	ımme Risk Register (Qualitative) CONFIDENTIAL WO	ORKING DRAFT												
Category/ Workstream	Risk ID	Risk Name	Risk Description	Risk Cause	Risk Consequence	Risk Owner	Likelihood Project Cost Schedule Reputation &	Service Disruption Policy / Benefit	Risk Score (Pre-Mit)	Assessment Assumptions	Action ID	Action Name	Action Description	Action Owner Likelihood	Project Cost Schedule Reputation & oinguity of the control of th	Policy / Benefit realisation (Assumption (
	Prog-18)ra	ft f	o r	~		A-78 Or	n-going engagement of aff	On-going engagement of staff, fostering good working environment and team atmosphere. Regular resource reviews to ensure that workload is appropriate.	HR Lead		
Project & Work Package Development	Prog-20	Highways England Interventions	Interventions / changes by Highways England that impact the GM CAP.	Highways England is a separate entity who may implement their own interventions to meet their requirements, within the prescribed timescales. Alternatively, Highways England may undertake significant infrastructure changes and influence flows, and therefore vehicles move to Local Authority roads and cause unforeseen exceedances.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the destimescales or impact on costings.	sired Programme Manager	L H M	/a	10			OMPETE - Include Highways Igland in the Steering Toup.	Ensure Highways England included in the Steering Group and establish regular engagement.	Programme Manager	H M	H 10
Finance	Prog-23	Whole life costs	Whole life costs have yet to be finalised and JAQU have yet to review the funding proposal.	_	Unexpected potential financial liabilities flow to GM.	ring Finance Manager	M VH L -	- L	18		A-67	ain confirmation from JAQU ound whole life costs.	Gain confirmation from JAQU as to how whole life costs are expected to be managed.	Finance Lead L	VH L	L 17
Data, Evidence & Modelling	Prog-24	Fleet redeployment	Older fleet being redeployed in Greater Manchester from other areas because of the differences in approach and timescales between different authorities that may be taken.	If solution implemented in Greater Manchester is more favourable than other areas.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.	Programme Manager	L L L Vi	l - H	1 7		A-63 Lo	bby Government	Lobby Government for funding for Green Buses in the Greater Manchester area	Modelling Lead L	L L H -	H 10
Audit and Assurance	Prog-25	Assurance requirements	Additional assurance may be required, depending on the scope of the programme as it develops.		Additional resource requirements. Potential delays to meet assurance requirements - AQ compliance not being achieved in the manda timescales.	Programme	H M L -	- L	14		Δ-6/1	ndertake a further Risk otential Assessment (RPA).	RPA arrangements will be confirmed once the preferred option is agreed and assurance plans developed.	Assurance Lead M	M L	L 11
Procurement	Prog-26	Procurement strategies	Procurement Strategies are developed in isolation from similar schemes across the UK and obvious areas of synergy are missed.	Lack of national framework leads to multiple frameworks being utilised.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the	Procurement Manager	M H H -	- H	d 15		A-65 Sh	are procurement strategy ross work streams	The Procurement team will share the Scope and Procurement Strategy for each work stream across each of the other Clean Air work streams and with JAQU – in order that dependencies/ synergies can b identified and managed effectively and to facilitate the development of effective procurement strategies/ frameworks.	e Procurement M	M H	H 15
Finance	Prog-27	Commodity price increases	Rise of commodity prices as a result of Brexit or other unforeseen exchange rate fluctuations drives significant cost increases.	Market forces	Impact on costings.	Finance Manager	M M L -	- L	11		A-66 Co	ontractual arrangements	Wherever practicable, contractual arrangements wi be drafted to favour 'fixed-price' or 'manage risk' agreements with contractors.		M L	L 8
Programme & Project Business Case	Prog-28	JAQU reviews and governance requirements	JAQU reviews and governance requirements not achieved within the scheduled time scales/requiring multiple iterations.	JAQU guidance unavailable at the time of drafting. JAQU estimation of the level of work required to close out.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.	Programme Manager	M L VH -	- H	18		A-67 Lia	aise with JAQU	Liaise with JAQU to agree submission requirements in advance of drafting documents and have discussion at submission and review stages in addition to formal submission. Allow JAQU to have early sight of documentation, for review.	Programme Manager	L H	H 15
Project & Work Package Development	Prog-30	Local Authority may be responsible for managing Highways England attributable emissions.	Some Local Authority exceedances may be attributable to emissions from the Strategic Roan Network (SRN) (Highways England), where the exceedance is measured on the LA road but attributable to emissions from the SRN. Compliance cannot be delivered because emissions from the SRN cannot be reduced sufficiently.	Indicate that where some exceedances are on the Local Authority network and are partly attributable to SRN emissions, the expectation is that the Local Authority proposals will be expected to deliver compliance on that link.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.	Programme Manager	H VH L -	- H	1 20		A-69 ide	OMPLETE - Modelling to entify if there are any amples of this situation.	Modelling to identify if there are any examples of this situation, and discuss with JAQU and Highways England.	Modelling Lead H	H L	H 19
	Prog-30										A-70 es	OMPLETE - Political calation to highlight this uation.	Political escalation to highlight this situation.	Governance & Policy Lead		
Procurement	Prog-30 Prog-31	Implementation funding not secured prior to procurement.	Good quality tenders will not be received, or prices will be inflated because funding will not be secured before the procurement exercise needs to begin.	Inefore flinding can be secured to be able to	AQ compliance not being achieved in the destimescales and impact on costing.	sired Procurement Manager	M VH H -	- H	18		A-71 En	ngage with Highways ngland.	Engage with Highways England to collaborate on a suitable approach. Seek clarification from JAQU as to whether there is any flexibility over this. Ensure JAQU are in broad agreement with procurement approach before going to market. SRO to discuss and agree approach with counterpart	Procurement Lead M	VH H	H 18
Legal	Prog-31 Prog-32	Developing information is released early.	Early release of developing information to the public domain may impact plans for consultation engagement, and reputation, leading to delays to GM CAP development or consultation plans.	Infinite nomain a o nrace cortal manta	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.	Programme Manager	H L M H	- M	19		JA	O to agree approach with QU and gain approval ontractual Arrangements	at JAQU and gain approval to procure as part of OBC approval	SRO	L M H -	M 15

Appendix E.5.1 - OBC Programme Risk Register (Qualitative) CONFIDENTIAL WORKING DRAFT Title: Review Date: 2/25/2019 Post-Mitigation (VL-VH) Pre-Mitigation (VL-VH) **Assessment** Risk ID **Risk Cause** Risk Owner **Risk Name Risk Description Risk Consequence Action Name Action Description** Workstream Assumptions Legal scope is unclear at this stage. If the legal scope is not understood, then Greater Lack of clarity around the full legal scope -Engagement of legal expertise to clarify legal Manchester risk not complying with the remit of AQ compliance not being achieved in the Programme the programme, or having to change the scope of Prog-33 Legal scope A-74 Engagement of QC questions and on-going engagement with JAQU Legal mandated timescales. the programme/ projects to address any shortcomings. Procurement process takes longer than Tender documentation is scheduled for release in Schedule is delayed as a result of delays in the AQ compliance not being achieved in the Procurement of the next M M H Dec-18, with return Jan-19 in-line with the Procurement Prog-34 anticipated. phase Lead Advisor procurement of the next phase Lead Advisor mandated timescales. procurement timetable. Liaise with JAQU regarding procurement process of Liaise with JAQU regarding Prog-34 A-111 the next phase Lead Advisor and revise procurement procurement process. timescale estimates accordingly. Assumes that JAQU JAQU may not agree the revised cost plan. Time Long-term costs are difficult to estimate AQ compliance not being achieved in the Programme taken to reach agreement may cause funding H VL M pressure will be to Finance Manager until scope is fully clarified. mandated timescales. revised cost plan issues for Greater Manchester. reduce costs. Programme & Outline Business Case (OBC) OBC not approved by Government, leading to AQ compliance not being achieved in the Programme Prog-37 not approved by M M VH A-79 VH OBC not approved by Government. Project Business delays. mandated timescales. Manager Case Programme & Full Business Case (FBC) not | FBC not approved by Government, leading to AQ compliance not being achieved in the Programme M M VH A-80 VH **Project Business** FBC not approved by Government. M VH approved by Government delays. Manager mandated timescales. Case Timescales of Greater Proposed scheme is complex to deliver and Manchester submission of As per the schedule, Greater Manchester will be Programme & AQ compliance not being achieved in the may not meet JAQU's expectations with Review schedule and optimise to comply with JAQU Programme Programme **Project Business** H VL H VH M VL M VH - H Prog-39 approved FBC for submitting an approved FBC for funding towards A-81 Review schedule regard to the FBC submission (the point at mandated timescales. Manager Manager deadlines Implementation/ Clean Air the end of the JAQU funding deadline. Case which funding is released). Prog-39 A-112 Seek agreement from JAQU Seek agreement from JAQU to extend deadline. **Project Sponsor** Clean Air Plans are novel and there are few Costs and revenue will be refined, alongside the As recommended, HM Treasury Green Book Differing cost of Implementation - a request for Finance 'lessons learned' to inform the guidance. scope of the scheme, as part of the Financial Case for Finance Lead M H M M VH M Prog-40 Optimism Bias guidance is followed, but does not prove A-82 Cost refinement Finance There are no available case studies for more funding is required Manager appropriate for this scheme. reference. Limited public transport capacity/ active travel Liaison with Bus Operators about routes where extra | Programme AQ compliance not being achieved in the Public Transport Capacity/ Programme infrastructure to compliment a modal shift, as a Insufficient timescales to implement. L VL M H - H A-83 Liaison with Bus Operators VL VL M H - H Customer Active travel infrastructure mandated timescales. Manager capacity may be required. result of potential CAZ schemes Liaison with Mayors Challenge Fund cycling and Liaison with Mayors Programme Prog-41 walking team to ensure infrastructure is targeted at Challenge Fund appropriate locations. Targeted interventions by Sustainable Journeys team Programme Targeted interventions by to make people aware of alternative options for Prog-41 Sustainable Journeys team Manager Inflation forecasts for 10 years are incorrect and Gain confirmation from JAQU Gain confirmation from JAQU as to how whole life Finance Prog-42 Inflation forecasts Various, including uncertainty around Brexit. Increased operational costs VH VH M VH Finance Lead Finance this leads to increased operational costs. around whole life costs. Manager costs are expected to be managed. Assumes that JAQU Greater Manchester have to absorb some costs pressure will be to JAQU require Greater Manchester Combined Programme & as part of their Business As Usual (BAU) Programme reduce costs. Project Business Prog-43 JAQU funding Authority (GMCA) to reduce ongoing operational Decision by JAQU L VL - H - H - H However, the impact Manager Reputational risk if spending on other areas is Case to district affordability impacted. could be significant. AQ compliance not being achieved in the Timescales for delivery of the GM CAP. Programme & FBC activities are to be run in Streamlining of the FBC schedule requires mandated timescales. On-going programme and On-going programme and project reviews to ensure | Programme Programme Prog-44 (see related threat Prog-39 and the M - - M Project Business activities to be run in parallel. Manager that this is being managed effectively. project reviews requirement to meet funding timescales). Case Re-work and increased costs.

Appendix E.5.1 - OBC Programme Risk Register (Qualitative) CONFIDENTIAL WORKING DRAFT Title: 2/25/2019 Review Date: **Pre-Mitigation (VL-VH)** Post-Mitigation (VL-VH) **Assessment Risk ID Risk Cause Risk Name Risk Description** Risk Owner **Action Name** Risk Consequence **Action Description** Assumptions Workstream The cumulative effect of multiple schemes across Costs to upgrade fleet are higher or upgrade the UK drives up the cost of new/second hand Programme & Availability of affordable process takes longer than anticipated. AQ Programme Prog-45 vehicles considerably and/or causes delay to National approach influences whole market Project Business compliant vehicles. compliance not being achieved in the mandated Manager compliance due to lengthy wait times (new Case timescales. vehicles). Risk due to potential outcomes of the Programme & Leaving the European Union | consultation regarding the plans for European AQ compliance not being achieved in the Stay abreast of consultations; unknown at this stage Governance & Programme Change of Governmental priorities. A-90 Stay abreast of consultations Prog-46 Project Business Union Withdrawal; unknown at this stage mandated timescales. Manager whether there will be impact on the GM CAP. Case whether this will have impact on the GM CAP. Complexity of using various framework AQ compliance not being achieved in the Early engagement with the Legal review and involvement in the procurement agreements and uncertainty over whether full Complexity of using a number of existing Procurement Procurement Prog-47 Framework Agreements M - M Procurement _ Manager_ scope of proposals will be covered by existing frameworks. mandated timescales. frameworks. The procurement team to undertake a review of the Engagement with the most suitable frameworks to use for goods/services Prog-47 procurement team that need to be procured. Review the tender list, seek financial statements/references, manage Contractor watch lists, formulate a robust procurement strategy on the Risk that a supplier/ Risk that a supplier/ preferred supplier goes into best available data at the time and before contracts | Procurement AQ compliance not being achieved in the Implementation of L | L | VH | - | - | H | 17 Prog-48 preferred supplier goes into L VH - - H Procurement Manager mandated timescales. procurement process are let, insert suitable clauses regarding step-in rights Lead administration. administration and termination rights if the supplier/contractor goes into administration. Existing frameworks will be utilised as much as possible. Marketing, Communications, Robust stakeholder engagement strategy; regular Risk that further consultation required above the Timescales do not support serial Robust stakeholder Further Consultation work Retrospective changes may be required, Communicatio Programme L M VH updates/reviews of the budgets and efforts required М M Prog-49 Stakeholder Manager level currently anticipated development. increasing the cost to the LA's. engagement strategy. for the consultation Engagement & Consultation Risk that the returned tenders are over the Returned tenders are over Market forces/ demand. Procurement AQ compliance not being achieved in the desired Procurement Market engagement for competitive tenders and use Procurement Competitive tendering/ Prog-50 estimated Cost Plan or do not represent Procurement the estimated Cost Plan. framework utilisation timescales for the implementation. Manager of framework as much as possible. timescales and impact on costing. appropriate Value for Money (VfM). Liaise with JAQU with regard to developing national | Programme Prog-50 A-96 Liaison with JAQU Manager frameworks. Work with asset owners and suppliers to understand Underestimation of maintenance Maintenance costs of assets are higher than Work with asset owners and Finance M M the maintenance requirements and include this Prog-51 Maintenance of assets Finance Lead M Finance Increased operational costs requirements/ market forces Manager anticipated. within cost plans. Programme & GM CAP exacerbates AQ VL cost impact as GM CAP interventions impact AQ issues on the Interventions planned lead to re-routing AQ compliance not being achieved in the Highways England included in Programme Prog-52 issues on the Highways L VL outside the scope of Highways England included in the Steering Group. L VL M Project Business Highways England network. Manager the Steering Group. onto Highways England network. mandated timescales. Case England network this programme. Continued and on-going liaison with Highways Close liaison with Highways Governance & Prog-52 England to ensure that any interventions are Policy Lead included within the modelling process. Ensure during FBC the Clean Air Funds are fully Programme & Clean Air Funding is not adequately supported by Funds not available to support the Clean Air Fund - fund AQ compliance not being achieved in the Programme Programme Prog-53 H A-100 Clean Air Fund scoping scoped and work with JAQU to establish fund VH Project Business Manager the Government mandated timescales. Manager Programme availability. Case Robust financial model with robust assumptions and Cost estimation uncertainty | Cost of design and implementation of proposals AQ compliance not being achieved in the Finance Prog-54 Scope development at OBC stage M VH M M A-101 Robust financial model M H M M strategy is in place to deal with the costs outcome Finance Lead M Finance across all projects mandated timescales. Manager are inaccurate being higher than anticipated. Prog-54 A-102 Parking costs Review scope and associated costs at FBC stage Finance Lead Build on fleet composition analysis already undertaken to establish an indication of number of Fleet mix changes ahead of proposed scheme Greater Manchester ends up with an old AQ compliance not being achieved in the retrofits required. Consider imposing a limit or Data, Evidence & Prog-55 Fleet mix being implemented are not accounted for in Modelling Lead M H M H A-103 Benchmarking of fleets Modelling Lead VL L L H - H restriction on bringing older vehicles into Greater Modelling fleet of vehicles mandated timescales. Clean Air Fund incentives Manchester service network against the incentives funds Project & Work Inconsistencies between different cities policies National approach to Clean Engagement on national No clear national approach to charging and AQ compliance not being achieved in the Liaise with JAQU to understand national approach to Programme JAQU Prog-56 with regard to exemptions/approaches with Package national modes of transport charging and Heavy Good Vehicles (HGV) strategy Manager Air Projects mandated timescales. proposed CAZs Development Project & Work Life extension of scheme results in infrastructure | Upgrade costs not currently factored for AQ compliance not being achieved in the Keep abreast with technology changes and market Programme L M Prog-57 Infrastructure technology M A-105 Infrastructure Innovation Package installed becoming redundant (technologically) could be significant (e.g. ANPR) Manager mandated timescales. trends on key infrastructure Development To ensure best value for money the GMEV contract Project & Work includes a requirement for bidders to keep pace with Programme Technology develops in market impacting the AQ compliance not being achieved in the Programme Innovation in market Package Prog-58 Technological changes - - M A-106 Innovation technological changes and provide a rate card for Manager chargers/ connections mandated timescales. Manager Development varying types of EV infrastructure

Title:		Appendix E.5.1 - OBC Progra	mme Risk Register (Qualitative) CONFIDENTIAL WO	RKING DRAFT															
Review Date:		2/25/2019																	
Category/ Workstream	Risk ID	Risk Name	Risk Description	Risk Cause	Risk Consequence	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Schedule Schedule Reputation &	Service Disruption Policy / Benefit	Risk Score (Pre-Mit)	Assessment Assumptions	Action ID Action Name	Action Description	Action Owner	Likelihood Project Cost	Schedule Reputation &	Legal A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Policy / Benefit realisation	Ris Scc (Po M
Project & Work Package Development	Prog-59	Lack of network capacity	Electricity North West unable to meet demand of proposed scheme	Electricity NW does not have the capacity to supply scheme	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.	Programme Manager		M -		8		A-107 Engage with Electricity Nor West	Engage with Electricity North West to establish network capacity and scope for growth in future years	Programme Manager	L M	-	L -	L	8
Project & Work Package Development	Prog-60	Emissions	The current focus of the UK plan and direction for the GM CAP is achieving the EU Limit Value based solely on NO ₂ . There may be unintended consequences on CO ₂ and Particulate Matter (PM) concentrations in Greater Manchester.		AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.	Programme Manager	М	0/	/a ₊	15		Δ-111Χ	To be considered and taken into account during the development of the GM CAP and FBC.	e Programme Manager	L L	L	Н -	Н	10
Programme & Project Business Case	Prog-61	Unforeseen economic effect	Implementing the GM CAP may have unforeseen economic effects.	Uncertainty around the economic effects of the implementation of the GM CAP	Effects will vary depending on area and sector. This may alter economic activity in a number of ways: investment, employment, and business viability (incl. HGV/ LGV). These effects may be both positive and negative, creating some opportunities whilst making others less attractive.	SRO	M ł	Н	Н - М	15		A-109 To be considered during G CAP and FBC development	Consultation and engagement with stakeholders to be considered and taken into account during the design development of the GM CAP and FBC. Economic Impact mitigated through current schem where possible. Thorough further Distributional Impact assessments required in FBC to inform potential schemes and reduce socio-economic impact Review through Monitoring and Evaluation Plan		M L	L	Н -	L	15
Project & Work Package Development	Prog-63	Data management	GDPR compliance	Back office interfaces are not clear and are not scoped appropriately.	Reputational challenges Increased operational costs	Programme Manager	M	Н -	H - L	15		A-113 Establish clear policies and requirements.	Ensure that there is a clear scope, identified interfaces, policies and contractual requirements a established for GDPR compliant processes and behaviours.	re Programme Manager	L M	-	Н -	L	10
Procurement	Prog-64	Procurement responses	Proposed packages of work are not seen as attractive by the market and responses from tenderers/ bidders are not forthcoming.	Lack of market interest.	Re-tenders may be required, or a change to the procurement strategy. AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.	Procurement Manager	L	н н	М - H	10		A-114 Early engagement with the supply chain.		Procurement Manager	VL H	Н	M -	Н	8
Procurement	Prog-65	Supplier capacity	Risk that due to a high level of national demand on a limited number of suppliers, a lack of supplier capacity could lead to long-lead times or increased costs.	Market forces	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.	Procurement Manager	L	M H	Н - Н	10		A-115 Early engagement with the supply chain.	Early engagement with the supply chain to inform levels of anticipated demand and allow suppliers to plan for this.		VL M	Н	Н -	Н	8

		Risk	Risk						
PreMit Rank	PostMit Rank	Score	Score (Post-	Category/ Workstream	Risk ID	Risk Name	Risk Description	Risk Cause	Risk Consequence
		(Pre-Mit)	Mit)						
1	1	25	20	Programme & Project Business Case	Prog-44	FBC activities are to be run in parallel.	Streamlining of the FBC schedule requires	Timescales for delivery of the GM CAP. (see related threat Prog-39 and the requirement to meet funding timescales).	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales. Re-work and increased costs.
1	4	25	18	Finance	Prog-42	Inflation forecasts	Inflation forecasts for 10 years are incorrect and this leads to increased operational costs.	Various, including uncertainty around Brexit.	Increased operational costs
3	1	20	20	Programme & Project Business Case	Prog-53	Clean Air Fund - fund provision	Class Air Francis and adams to be a second by	Funds not available to support the Programme	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
3	3	20	19	Project & Work Package Development	Prog-30	Local Authority may be responsible for managing Highways England attributable emissions.	Some Local Authority exceedances may be attributable to emissions from the Strategic Road Network (SRN) (Highways England), where the exceedance is measured on the LA road but attributable to emissions from the SRN. Compliance cannot be delivered because emissions from the SRN cannot be reduced sufficiently.	that where some exceedances are on the Local Authority network and are partly attributable to SRN emissions, the expectation is that the Local Authority proposals will be expected to deliver compliance on that link.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
3	4	20	18	Programme & Project Business Case	-	Timescales of Greater Manchester submission of approved FBC for Implementation/ Clean Air Fund	As per the schedule, Greater Manchester Will be	Proposed scheme is complex to deliver and may not meet JAQU's expectations with regard to the FBC submission (the point at which funding is released).	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
6	16	19	15	Governance & Policy	Prog-2	Delays with the Local Authority approval process	nreferred ontion	Approval process, number of interfaces. New interventions being introduced by stakeholders.	Delays to decision making resulting in AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales. Additional legal advice may be required.
6	16	19	15	Project & Work Package Development	Prog-15	Decision makers require a level of information that is not feasible to produce	stages), but may prove to be insufficient to support decision makers	Insufficient information is available to make key decisions.	Delays to achieving AQ compliance. Further investigation and research required leading to delays and additional costs.
6	16	19	15	Legal	Prog-32	Developing information is released early.	engagement, and reputation, leading to delays to GM CAP development or consultation plans.	Early release of developing information to public domain e.g. press, social media.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
6	16	19	15	Procurement	Prog-50	Returned tenders are over the estimated Cost Plan.	lactimated linet Plan or do not represent		AQ compliance not being achieved in the desired timescales and impact on costing.
10	4	18	18	Legal	Prog-17	Public Inquiry	A Public Inquiry may be required during the development and implementation of the GM CAP	businesses etc. identifying significant objection to	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales. Cost/schedule implications
10	4	18	18	Procurement	Prog-31	Implementation funding not secured prior to procurement.	prices will be inflated because funding will not be secured before the procurement exercise needs	Procurement exercise must be undertaken before funding can be secured to be able to pay suppliers.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the desired timescales and impact on costing.
10	4	18	18	Programme & Project Business Case	Prog-37	Outline Business Case (OBC) not approved by Government	OBC not approved by Government, leading to delays.	OBC not approved by Government.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
10	4	18	18	Programme & Project Business Case	Prog-38	Full Business Case (FBC) not approved by Government	FBC not approved by Government, leading to delays.	FBC not approved by Government.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
10	4	18	18	Programme & Project Business Case	Prog-45	Availability of affordable compliant vehicles.	The cumulative effect of multiple schemes across the UK drives up the cost of new/second hand vehicles considerably and/or causes delay to compliance due to lengthy wait times (new vehicles).	National approach influences whole market	Costs to upgrade fleet are higher or upgrade process takes longer than anticipated. AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
10	11	18	17	Data, Evidence & Modelling	Prog-11	Modelling / information	1	Predictive nature of modelling leading to potential uncertainty	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
10	11	18	17	Programme & Project Business Case	Prog-12	Effectiveness of GM CAP following implementation	Implementation of the preferred option does not reduce NO2 to levels predicted within the model. This risk cannot be determined to have impacted immediately - it is a long-term risk, over several years.	Behaviour change expected in modelling process is not achieved in real life.	
10	11	18	17	Project & Work Package Development	Prog-16	Technology required within timescales available	timescales to support the preferred option.		mandated timescales.
10	11	18	17	Finance	Prog-23	Whole life costs	Whole life costs have yet to be finalised and JAQU have yet to review the funding proposal.	How whole life costs are to be managed has yet to be confirmed at this stage.	Unexpected potential financial liabilities flowing to GM.

		Rick	Risk						
PreMit Rank	PostMit Rank	Score (Pre-Mit)	Score (Post- Mit)	Category/ Workstream	Risk ID	Risk Name	Risk Description	Risk Cause	Risk Consequence
10	16	18	15	Governance & Policy	IProg_ <	Public consultation/ decision making in Pre- Election Periods	Potential for delays to the schedule if consultation or governance is required during Pre-Election Periods causes issues.	Internal/ external (e.g. Local Authorities) governance requirements, additional rework. JAQU advice/ requirements are subject to change.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
10	16	18	15	Governance & Policy	Prog-4	Additional decisions/ governance required throughout the development of the business case & programme delivery	Additional decisions/ governance required or takes longer than anticipated - internal, local authority (High level governance, not specific powers or consents - the required governance could vary significantly depending on the content of the business case and/or the scope of the preferred option.).	Unforeseen government requirements	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
10	16	18	15	Legal	Prog-8	Legal challenge	Legal challenge about the approach taken	Objections to the approach and/ or timescales.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
10	16	18	15	Programme & Project Business Case	Prog-28	JAQU reviews and governance requirements	JAQU reviews and governance requirements not achieved within the scheduled time scales/ requiring multiple iterations.	JAQU guidance unavailable at the time of drafting. JAQU estimation of the level of work required to close out.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
10	16	18	15	Finance	Prog-40	Optimism Bias	As recommended, HM Treasury Green Book guidance is followed, but does not prove appropriate for this scheme.		Differing cost of Implementation - a request for more funding is required
10	16	18	15	Finance	Prog-54	Cost estimation uncertainty across all projects	Cost of design and implementation of proposals are inaccurate	Scope development at OBC stage	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
25	11	17	17	Programme & Project Business Case	Prog-46	Leaving the European Union (BREXIT)	Risk due to potential outcomes of the consultation regarding the plans for European Union Withdrawal; unknown at this stage whether this will have impact on the GM CAP.	Change of Governmental priorities	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
25	31	17	13	Procurement	Prog-48	Risk that a supplier/ preferred supplier goes into administration	Risk that a supplier/ preferred supplier goes into administration.	Market forces	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
25	36	17	10	Data, Evidence & Modelling	Prog-24	Fleet redeployment	Older fleet being redeployed in Greater Manchester from other areas because of the differences in approach and timescales between different authorities that may be taken.	If solution implemented in Greater Manchester is more favourable than other areas.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
25	36	17	10	Marketing, Communications, Stakeholder Engagement & Consultation	Prog-49	Further Consultation work required	Risk that further consultation required above the level currently anticipated	Timescales do not support serial development.	Retrospective changes may be required, increasing the cost to the LA's.
29	16	15	15	Governance & Policy	Prog-5	Political mandate	Political changes impact on schedule or vary scope.	Outcome of the 2019 local & Euro elections, changes nationally.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
29	16	15	15	Procurement	Prog-26	Procurement strategies	Procurement Strategies are developed in isolation from similar schemes across the UK and obvious areas of synergy are missed.	,	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales. Increased costs due to market demand
29	16	15	15	Procurement	Prog-34	Procurement of the next phase Lead Advisor	Schedule is delayed as a result of delays in the procurement of the next phase Lead Advisor.	Procurement process takes longer than anticipated.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
29	16	15	15	Business Case	Prog-61	Unforeseen economic effects	Implementing the GM CAP may have unforeseen economic effects.	Uncertainty around the economic effects of the implementation of the GM CAP	Effects will vary depending on area and sector. This may alter economic activity in a number of ways: investment, employment, and business viability (incl. HGV/ LGV). These effects may be both positive and negative, creating some opportunities whilst making others less attractive.
29	36	15	1111	Data, Evidence & Modelling	Prog-10	Target Determination is not agreed in the required timescales	Target Determination is not agreed.	Differences between JAQU and local data	AQ compliance not being achieved in the desired timescales or impact on costings.
29	36	15	10	Project & Work Package Development	Prog-14	Interaction with other Greater Manchester initiatives	Interfaces with other near-term Greater Manchester initiatives. Unforeseen impacts of Greater Manchester initiatives, or of the proposed solutions to the Greater Manchester initiatives.	Extent and wide-range of scope and interfaces.	Unforeseen complexities around interfaces and/ or interactions many result in AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
29	36	15		Project & Work Package Development		Emissions	The current focus of the UK plan and direction for the GM CAP is achieving the EU Limit Value based solely on NO2. There may be unintended consequences on CO2 and Particulate Matter (PM) concentrations in Greater Manchester.	GM CAP focus is solely on NO2.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
29	36	15	10	Project & Work Package Development	Prog-63	Data management	GDPR compliance		Reputational challenges Increased operational costs

PreMit Rank	PostMit Rank	Risk Score (Pre-Mit)	Risk Score (Post- Mit)	Category/ Workstream	Risk ID	Risk Name	Risk Description	Risk Cause	Risk Consequence
29	45	15	8	Data, Evidence & Modelling	Prog-55	Fleet mix	Fleet mix changes ahead of proposed scheme being implemented are not accounted for in Clean Air Fund incentives	Greater Manchester ends up with an old fleet of vehicles	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
29	45	15	8	Project & Work Package Development	Prog-56	National approach to Clean Air Projects	Inconsistencies between different cities policies with regard to exemptions/approaches with proposed CAZs	No clear national approach to charging and national modes of transport	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
39	30	14	14	Finance	Prog-35	JAQU may not agree the revised cost plan	JAQU may not agree the revised cost plan. Time taken to reach agreement may cause funding issues for Greater Manchester.	Long-term costs are difficult to estimate until scope is fully clarified.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
39	32	14	11	Human Resources	Prog-18	Resources	Uncertainty around resourcing requirements - extent of work / teams is underestimated, increasing costs / duration of activities. Availability of internal/ external resources with competing demands for time. Capability of internal/ external resources to meet		AQ compliance not being achieved in the desired timescales costings are not credible.
						An	Retention of critical staff - Key/ Critical/ Specialist resources may become unavailable, at short notice.	Market forces/ staff churn.	
39	32	14	11	Audit and Assurance	Prog-25	Assurance requirements	1	Full scope of the programme/ projects is yet to be determined.	Additional resource requirements. Potential delays to meet assurance requirements - AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
39	32	14	11	Legal	Prog-33	Legal scope	Legal scope is unclear at this stage. If the legal scope is not understood, then Greater Manchester risk not complying with the remit of the programme, or having to change the scope of the programme/ projects to address any shortcomings.	Lack of clarity around the full legal scope - due to	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
43	32	11	11	Finance	Prog-51	Maintenance of assets	Maintenance costs of assets are higher than anticipated.	Underestimation of maintenance requirements/ market forces	Increased operational costs
43	45	11	8	Programme & Project Business Case	Prog-6	JAQU guidance changes	Guidance not available within the required		AQ compliance not being achieved in the desired timescales and impact on costs. Reputational impact to Greater Manchester authorities
43	45	11	8	Finance	Prog-27	Commodity price increases	Rise of commodity prices as a result of Brexit or other unforeseen exchange rate fluctuations drives significant cost increases.	Market forces	Impact on costings.
43	54	11	7	Governance & Policy	Prog-13	Positioning of Greater Manchester approach impacts working relationship with Highways England.	Lack of Highways England direction to act is highlighted by Greater Manchester AQ issue.	Highways England are operating under a different statutory framework and are not working under the same direction as Local Authorities.	Impact to working relationship with Highways England and partnership with the wider Steering Group.
43	55	11	6	Marketing, Communications, Stakeholder Engagement & Consultation	Prog-7	Low public awareness of the issue of air pollution.	Low public awareness of the impact of air pollution may increase objections to the implementation of the GM CAP.	current level of public understanding and	Reluctance to change travel behaviour and adopt sustainable, clean, transport options. AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
48	36	10	10	Project & Work Package Development	Prog-20	Highways England Interventions	Interventions / changes by Highways England that impact the GM CAP.	Highways England is a separate entity who may implement their own interventions to meet their requirements, within the prescribed timescales. Alternatively, Highways England may undertake significant infrastructure changes and influence flows, and therefore vehicles move to Local Authority roads and cause unforeseen exceedances.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the desired timescales or impact on costings.
48	36	10	10	Programme & Project Business Case	Prog-43	JAQU funding	JAQU require Greater Manchester Combined Authority (GMCA) to reduce ongoing operational cost	Decision by JAQU	Greater Manchester have to absorb some costs as part of their Business As Usual (BAU) Reputational risk if spending on other areas is impacted.

PreMit Rank	PostMit Rank	Risk Score (Pre-Mit)	Risk Score (Post- Mit)	Category/ Workstream	Risk ID	Risk Name	Risk Description	Risk Cause	Risk Consequence
48	36	10	10	Procurement	Prog-47	Framework Agreements	Complexity of using various framework agreements and uncertainty over whether full scope of proposals will be covered by existing frameworks.		AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
48	45	10	8	Customer	1P1()(1=4 1	Public Transport Capacity/ Active travel infrastructure	Limited public transport capacity/ active travel infrastructure to compliment a modal shift, as a result of potential CAZ schemes	Insufficient timescales to implement.	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
48	45	10	8	Procurement	Prog-64	Procurement responses	Proposed packages of work are not seen as attractive by the market and responses from tenderers/ bidders are not forthcoming.		Re-tenders may be required, or a change to the procurement strategy. AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
48	45	10	8			Supplier capacity	Risk that due to a high level of national demand on a limited number of suppliers, a lack of supplier capacity could lead to long-lead times or increased costs.	III/IOTRAT TATAGE	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
48	55	10	6	Project & Work Package Development	Prog-58	Technological changes	Technology develops in market impacting the chargers/ connections	Innovation in market	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
55	45	8	8	IRIIGINAGO L.AGA	Prog-52	GM CAP exacerbates AQ issues on the Highways England network	GM CAP interventions impact AQ issues on the Highways England network.		AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
55	45	8		Project & Work Package Development		Lack of network capacity	Electricity North West unable to meet demand of proposed scheme	Electricity NW does not have the capacity to supply scheme	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
55	55	8	6	Project & Work Package Development	Prog-57	Infrastructure technology	Life extension of scheme results in infrastructure	Upgrade costs not currently factored for could be significant (e.g. ANPR)	AQ compliance not being achieved in the mandated timescales.
58	58	4	4	Legal	Prog-9	Impact to climate change strategy	Changes in fleet composition impacts ambitions related to climate change strategy.	Clean Air Plan (as defined by Government) is	Impacts Carbon Plan and other emission reduction strategies

Risk Matrix Report - Draft Page 12

GM CAP Risk Probability Impact Grid Report (Confidential Working Draft)

Pre-Mitigation 58 / 58

Probability	Low	Medium	High	Crit	tical
Very High			1		2
High			4	4	3
Medium			5	10	15
Low			3	7	3
Very Low			1		
Impact	Very Low	Low	Medium	High	Very High

Post-Mitigation 58 / 58

Probability	Low	Medium	High	Crit	tical
Very High			1		
High			1	1	2
Medium		1	4	14	7
Low		3	5	9	4
Very Low			1	4	1
Impact	Very Low	Low	Medium	High	Very High

TfGM Risk Assessment Criteria

Likelihood criteria	Very Low (VL)	Low (L)	Medium (M)	High (H)	Very High (VH)
	≤5%	6-25%	26-50%	51-75%	>75%

Impact Criteria	Very Low (VL)	Low (L)	Medium (M)	High (H)	Very High (VH)
Project Cost					
T1 = < £1m	£0 - £5k	>£5k - £10k	>£10k - £30k	>£30k - £50k	>£50k
T2 = £1-10m	£0 - £30k	>£30k - £75k	>£75k - £200k	>£200k - £500k	>£500k
T3 = £10-50m	5 £0 -£50km	>£50k - £300k	>£300k - £500k	>£500k - £1m	>£1m
T4 = £50-400m	£0 - £100k	>£100k - £400k	>£400k - £1m	>£1m - £5m	>£5m
T5 = >£400m	£0 - £1m	>£1m - £5m	>£5m - £25m	>£25m - £50m	>£50m
Schedule	<2 weeks delay OR no impact on end date/ deadline.	2-4 weeks delay OR low impact on key activities; no impact on the end date (occurs at development stage and can be accommodated in schedule).	key milestones or activities but no impact on end date (requires changes to	other schemes / activities/ revenues.	>12 weeks delay OR significant impact significant impact to key milestone or activities and delays to the end date. Knock on effects on other schemes / activities/ revenues.
Health & Safety	Minor injuries; cuts and bruises (First Aid Case).	RIDDOR Reportable (Over Three Day Injury)	Serious Injury (Non Life Threatening)	Major injury (Life Threatening)	Fatality
Reputation & Legal	Isolated local complaints e.g. noise complaints; unlikely to lead to a loss in customer patronage / affect scheme. No legal concerns.	Local complaints by a local group: will reduce affection for TfGM in that locality. Minor legal concerns.	l · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	expectations (e.g. journey times and reliability); will seriously reduce affection for TfGM, gradually erode network patronage or ability to attract funding & customers from other modes (car).	Serious poor publicity and legal concerns: will affect public trust in TfGM, likely to have an immediate impact on customer patronage, or lead to the closure of a route or voiding of a scheme. E.g. serious H&S incident or violation of competition or other laws.
Service Disruption	Up to 1 day disruption to a non-critical route or system outside peak period.	> 2 days disruption to a non-critical route or to an IS system with restricted usage (e.g. SAP Financials) outside peak period.	system or to a widely used but non-	system (e.g. Hardrives/ Signalling) during	> 3 hours disruption to a critical IS system or > 1 hour disruption to a critical route during peak period.
Policy / Benefit realisation	Minimal delay or interruption to the realisation of a benefit / objective or loss of less than 10% of predicted benefits	Minor delay or interruption to the realisation of a benefit / objective or loss of 10-25% of predicted benefits	Reduces viability/ impacts on efficiency, output, and quality of benefits / objectives or loss of 25-50% of predicted benefits	·	Critical impact on the achievement of objectives and overall performance or loss of more than 80% of predicted benefits

TfGM Risk Assessment Criteria

Risk Matrix: Probability X Impact

	A impact		<u> </u>			
Probability	Low	Medium	High	Critical		
Very High	5	10	15	20	25	
High	4	8	14	19	20	j.
Medium	3	7	11	15	18	Showstopper
Low	2	6	8	10	17	1 S
Very Low	1	2	4	8	13	
Impact	Very Low	Low	Medium	High	Very High	

Dotted line is Risk Tolerance score.

Risk Scores>>	Score 19 - 25	Zone 1	Critical
	Score 14 - 18	Zone 2	High
	Score 7 - 13	Zone 3	Medium
	Score < 6	Zone 4	Low