

Delegated Decision

Proposed Prohibition of Waiting - Coverhill Road, Grotton

Report of: Deputy Chief Executive – People and Place

Officer contact: Lucy Tindall
Ext. 3205

23 January 2019

Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is to consider the introduction of prohibitive waiting restrictions in the form of double yellow lines on Coverhill Road at its junction with Furberry Court to alleviate obstructive parking problems.

Recommendation

It is recommended that 'No waiting - At any time' restrictions to be introduced on Coverhill Road at its junction with Furberry Court, Grotton in accordance with the schedule and plan at the end of this report.

Proposed Prohibition of Waiting - Coverhill Road, Grotton

1 Background

- 1.1 A complaint has been received from a resident of Furberry Court regarding obstructive parking on Coverhill Road which causes visibility problems for motorists exiting Furberry Court. Yellow lines have been requested to prevent motorists from parking within close proximity to the junction.

2 Current Position

- 2.1 Observations undertaken have revealed vehicles do park on Coverhill Road within close proximity to its junction with Furberry Court and therefore can obstruct visibility for motorist exiting Furberry Court.
- 2.2 In light of the obstructive parking taking place it is recommended that waiting restrictions in the form of double yellow lines be introduced in accordance with the schedule at the end of this report.

3 Options/Alternatives

- 3.1 Option 1: To approve the recommendation to introduce waiting restrictions
- 3.2 Option 2: Not to approve the recommendation to introduce waiting restrictions

4 Preferred Option

- 4.1 The preferred option is Option 1 – to introduce waiting restrictions

5 Justification

- 5.1 The introduction of waiting restrictions on Coverhill Road will improve the visibility for motorists exiting Furberry Court, in accordance with drawing number 47/A3/1515/1.

6 Consultations

- 6.1 G.M.P. View - The Chief Constable has been consulted and has no objection to this proposal.
- 6.2 T.f.G.M. View - The Director General has been consulted and has no objection to this proposal.
- 6.3 G.M. Fire Service View - The County Fire Officer has been consulted and has no comment on this proposal.
- 6.4 N.W. Ambulance Service View - The County Ambulance Officer has been consulted and has no comment on this proposal.

7 **Comments of Saddleworth West and Lees Ward Councillors**

7.1 The Ward Councillors have been consulted and Councillor V Leach has no comments but it seems to be a reasonable strategy.

8 **Financial Implications**

8.1 The cost of making this restriction along with initial road marking and maintenance thereafter is as follows:

	£
Advertisement of Order	1,200
Introduction of Road Markings	500
TOTAL	<u>1,700</u>
Annual Maintenance costs (calculated August 2018)	100

8.2 The advertising and initial road marking cost of £1,700 will be funded from cost centre 40916 (Highways Operations – Unity).

8.3 The annual maintenance costs estimated at £100 per annum will be met from cost centre 40350 (Highways Operations). If there are pressures in this area as the financial year progresses, the Directorate will have to manage its resources to ensure that there is no adverse overall variance at the financial year end. (Nigel Howard/Sadrul Alam)

9 **Legal Services Comments**

9.1 The Council must be satisfied that it is expedient to make the Traffic Regulation Order in order to avoid danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or for preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising, or for preventing damage to the road or to any building on or near the road, or for facilitating the passage on the road or any other road of any class of traffic, including pedestrians, or for preventing the use of the road by vehicular traffic of a kind which, or its use by vehicular traffic in a manner which, is unsuitable having regard to the existing character of the road or adjoining property or for preserving or improving the amenities of the area through which the road runs.

9.2 In addition to the above, under section 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, it shall be the duty of the Council so to exercise the functions conferred on them by the Act as to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic (including pedestrians) and the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities on and off the highway. Regard must also be had to the desirability of securing and maintaining reasonable access to premises, the effect on the amenities of any locality affected and the importance of regulating and restricting the use of roads by heavy commercial vehicles so as to preserve or improve the amenities of the areas through which the roads run, the strategy produced under section 80 Environmental Protection Act 1990 (the national air quality strategy), the importance of facilitating the passage of public service vehicles and of securing the safety and convenience of persons using or desiring to use such vehicles and any other matters appearing to the Council to be relevant. (A Evans)

10 **Co-operative Agenda**

10.1 In respect of this proposal there are no Co-operative issues or opportunities arising and the proposals are in line with the Council's Ethical Framework

11 **Human Resources Comments**

11.1 None.

12 **Risk Assessments**

12.1 None.

13 **IT Implications**

13.1 None.

14 **Property Implications**

14.1 None.

15 **Procurement Implications**

15.1 None.

16 **Environmental and Health & Safety Implications**

16.1 Energy – Nil.

16.2 Transport – Nil.

16.3 Pollution – Nil.

16.4 Consumption and Use of Resources – Nil.

- 16.5 Built Environment – Nil.
- 16.6 Natural Environment – Nil.
- 16.7 Health and Safety – the introduction of waiting restrictions will create a safer environment for motorists manoeuvring through the junction.

17 Equality, community cohesion and crime implications

- 17.1 By removing obstructive parking on Coverhill Road at its junctions with Furber Court, visibility at the junction will be improved and meet the aspirations of the complainant.

18 Equality Impact Assessment Completed?

- 18.1 No.

19 Key Decision

- 19.1 No.

20 Key Decision Reference

- 20.1 Not applicable.

21 Background Papers

- 21.1 The following is a list of background papers on which this report is based in accordance with the requirements of Section 100(1) of the Local Government Act 1972. It does not include documents which would disclose exempt or confidential information as defined by the Act:

None.

22 Proposal

- 22.1 It is proposed that a Traffic Regulation Order be introduced in accordance with the following schedule and drawing number.

Schedule

Drawing Number 47/A3/1515/1

Add to the Oldham Borough Council (Saddleworth area) Consolidation Order 2003

Part 1 Schedule 1

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5
Item No	Length of Road	Duration	Exemptions	No Loading
	<u>Coverhill Road</u> (East Side) Extending from a point 10 metres north of its junction with Furber Court to a point 10 metres south of its junction with Furber Court	At Any Time	A, B1, B3, B4, C, E, K3	

APPROVAL

Decision maker Signed:  Cabinet Member, Neighbourhood Services	Dated: 04/02/19
In consultation with Signed:  Director Of Environmental Services	Dated: 25/01/19

